LM 02 ORIGIN $\equiv 0$

Prepared by: Wm Stein

0

14

17

24

25

27

33

34

37

40

41

42

0

1

2

3

K = 4

5

6

8

9

10

1

61

37

65

69

54

93

87

89

100

90

97

RMA Regression

Linear Regression in the "usual" or "Type I" mode, as described by Sokal & Rohlf (*Biometry* 3rd Edition 1995) involves specifying in advance which of two variables is to be considered fixed and indepenent (X) versus dependent with natural variation (Y). This prior assignment is useful for prediction of Y from X, and for calculating confidence intervals, since all variation from a trend line is interpreted to occur in Y alone with a single Normally distributed error term ε . For futher details, I highly recommend reading Sokal & Rohlf's discussion of this in their chapters on Regression and Correlation. Type II regressions, by constrast to Type I, are useful primarily for finding "best fit" trend lines between variables each of which are considered to have natural variation. Rather than prediction of Y from X, the main object is to describe a relationship between variables in a bivariate sense.

Reduced Major Axis (RMA) Regression (also called Geometric Mean (GM) Regression, Standard Major Axis Regression, or Relation d'allometre - see SR p. 544) is ultimately derived from principal axes (as in PCA) of standardized variables. Variables are "standardized" so that each has a mean of zero and stadard deviation of one. Standardization allows use of the the simple methods of calculation for RMA Regression below without going the typical eigenvector/eigenvalue route.

Assumptions:

- Type II regressions are symmetrical with regard to natural variation. Each variable X & Y is considered to be derived from a population of possible values:
- $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ..., Y_n$ is a random sample ~ $N(\mu_V, \sigma_V^2)$.
- $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$ is a random sample ~ $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$
- Values of X_i matched to Y_i

Model:

 $Y = \alpha + \beta X$

i := 0 ... n - 1

here: α is the y intercept of the regression line (translation)
 β is the slope of the regression line (scaling coefficient)
 where: each variable has its own error term. This will not be analyzed explicitly since no predictions are formed.

Example: Sokal & Rohlf p. 546 Box 14.12

K := READPRN("c:/RData/SR14.12.txt")				
$X := K^{\langle 0 \rangle}$	$Y := K^{\left< 1 \right>}$	< assigning variables X & Y from matrix K		
X _{bar} := mean	n(X)	$X_{bar} = 30.36364$	< mean for X = first column in K	
Y _{bar} := mean	n(Y)	$Y_{bar} = 76.54545$	< mean for Y = second column in K	
n := length(l)	(0)	n = 11	< number of paired observations	

Least Squares Estimation of the Regression Line:

Sums of Squares and Cross Products corrected for mean location:

$$L_{xx} := \sum_{i} (X_{i} - X_{bar})^{2}$$

$$L_{xx} = 932.5455$$
corrected Sum of squares of X
$$L_{yy} := \sum_{i} (Y_{i} - Y_{bar})^{2}$$

$$L_{yy} = 4188.7273$$
corrected Sum of squares of Y
$$L_{xy} := \sum_{i} (X_{i} - X_{bar}) \cdot (Y_{i} - Y_{bar})$$

$$L_{xy} = 1743.8182$$
corrected Sum of cross products

Type I "Simple" Regression of Y on X:

Estimated Regression Coefficients for $Y = \alpha + \beta X$:

$$b := \frac{L_{xy}}{L_{xx}} \qquad b = 1.86996 \qquad < \text{sample estimate of } \beta$$
$$a := Y_{bar} - b \cdot X_{bar} \qquad a = 19.76682 \qquad < \text{sample estimate of } \alpha$$

Estimated Values of Y:

$$Y_{hat_i} \coloneqq a + b \cdot X_i$$

Residuals:

$$\varepsilon_i := Y_{hat_i} - Y_i$$

Mean Square for Error:

$$MSE := \frac{\sum_{i} (\varepsilon_{i})^{2}}{n-2}$$
 < from ANOVA for Regression
Standard Table

Standard Error of slope:

$$s_b := \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{L_{xx}}}$$
 $s_b = 0.3325$

< See SR p. 467 & 471 for calculation of s_b

Type II RMA - Reduced Major Axis Regression:

Estimated Regression Coefficients:

$$b_{v} := \sqrt{\frac{L_{yy}}{L_{xx}}} \qquad b_{v} = 2.11937$$
$$a_{v} := Y_{bar} - b_{v} \cdot X_{bar} \qquad a_{v} = 12.19378$$

Estimated Values of Y:

$$Yv_{hat_i} := a_v + b_v \cdot X_i$$

Residuals:

$$\varepsilon_{v_i} \coloneqq Y v_{hat_i} - Y_i$$

Mean Square for Error:

$$MSE_{v} := \frac{\sum_{i} \left(\varepsilon_{v_{i}}\right)^{2}}{n-2}$$

Standard Error of slope:

$$s_b := \sqrt{\frac{MSE_v}{L_{xx}}} \qquad s_b = 0.34273$$

			-		
Y _{hat} =		0	= 3		0
	0	45.9462		0	-15.0538
	1	51.5561		1	14.5561
	2	64.6457		2	-0.3543
	3	66.5157		3	-2.4843
	4	70.2556		4	16.2556
	5	81.4753		5	-11.5247
	6	83.3453		6	-3.6547
	7	88.9552		7	-0.0448
	8	94.565		8	-5.435
	9	96.435		9	6.435
	10	98.3049		10	1.3049

< RMA regression intercept. Note that it differs slightly from that given in SR.

< RMA regression estimate of slope

		0			0
Yv _{hat} =	0	41.8649	$\varepsilon_{v} = $	0	-19.1351
	1	48.223		1	11.223
	2	63.0586		2	-1.9414
	3	65.1779		3	-3.8221
	4	69.4167		4	15.4167
	5	82.1329		5	-10.8671
	6	84.2522		6	-2.7478
	7	90.6103		7	1.6103
	8	96.9684		8	-3.0316
	9	99.0878		9	9.0878
	10	101.2072		10	4.2072

< Note that my calculations use residuals in Y calculated from the RMA predicted values, rather than the Type I predicted values. This approach differs from that of SR where values are simply taken from Type I regression above. I'm not sure the value of this.

LM 02	RMA Regression				
Prototype in R:	General commands for Type I Regression:	V1 V2 1 14 61			
COMMANDS	:	2 17 37 3 24 65			
> K=read.tabl > K	e("c:/2008Morphometrics/SR14.12.txt")	4 25 69 5 27 54 < Values of K returned 6 33 93			
> X=K\$V1 > Y=K\$V2 > X		7 34 87 8 37 89 9 40 100 10 41 90 11 42 97			
	[1] 14 17 24 25 27 3	33 34 37 40 41 42			
> Y	[1] 61 37 65 69 54 93 87 89 100 90 97				
> Im(Y~X)	$lm(formula = Y \sim X)$	^ values of X & Y returned			
	Coefficients:< Values returned from I(Intercept)X19.771.87	lm() call			
> lsfit(X,Y)					
Scoef Inter 19.76	ficients cept X 6816 1.869955				
\$resid [1] [6] [11]	luals 15.05381166 -14.55605381 0.35426009 2.48430493 -16 11.52466368 3.65470852 0.04484305 5.43497758 -6. -1.30493274	5.25560538 43497758			
\$inte [1] T	rcept RUE				
Sqr Sqt [1] - [6] [11]	253.8725521 57.1039561 -1.9757844 -0.2955843 -19 5.1460158 -3.1737842 -8.1331841 -4.0925840 -16.4 -11.7321840	9.9351843 4123840			
\$qr [1,] [2,]	ntercept X -3.3166248 -100.70478909 0 3015113 - 30 53760722	\$qraux [1] 1.301511 1.313476 \$rank			
[2,] [3,] [4,] [5,]	0.3015113 30.35700722 0.3015113 0.08425008 0.3015113 0.05150357 0.3015113 -0.01398945	[1] 2 Spivot			

[6,] 0.3015113 -0.21046849 [7,] 0.3015113 -0.24321500

[8,] 0.3015113 -0.34145453

[9,] 0.3015113 -0.43969405 [10,] 0.3015113 -0.47244056

[11,] 0.3015113 -0.50518707

\$pivot [1] 1 2

\$tol [1] 1e-07

attr(,"class") [1] "qr"

Prototype in R:	Using R to calculate RMA Regression:		
COMMANDS:			
> K=read.table("c:/2008M > X=K\$V1 > Y=K\$V2	forphometrics/SR14.12.txt")		
	[1] -16.363636 -13.363636 -6.363636 -5.363636		
> Xc=X-mean(X)	[5] -3.363636 2.636364 3.636364 6.636364		
> Xc	[9] 9.636364 10.636364 11.636364		
	^ Values of X centered on the mean of X		
> Lxx=sum(Xc*Xc) > Lxx	[1] 932.5455 < Lxx value returned		
> Yc=Y-mean(Y) > Lyy=sum(Yc*Yc) > Lyy	[1] 4188.727 < Lyy value returned Compare these values with our calculations above!		
<pre>> bv=sqrt(Lyy/Lxx) > bv</pre>	[1] 2.119366 < RMA Regression Slope returned		
> av=mean(Y)-bv*mean(X > av	(X) [1] 12.19378 < RMA Regression Intercept returned		
> Yvhat=av+bv*X > Yvhat	 [1] 41.86491 48.22301 63.05858 65.17794 [5] 69.41668 82.13287 84.25224 90.61034 < Estimated values of Y [9] 96.96844 99.08781 101.20717 		
> ev=Yvhat-Y > ev	[1] -19.135086 11.223013 -1.941422 -3.822056 [5] 15.416677 -10.867125 -2.747759 1.610340 < Residuals [9] -3.031560 9.087806 4.207172		